

#### 0 - 29 30-39 40-49 50-59 60-79 80-100 Low High

NA = Rating or information not available. This can also be due to a company with only partial ratings. We do not provide an overall score for partially rated companies.

#### Enter The Company Or Companies You Want To Study

- 1. Enter either a company name, part of a company name, or a brand in column B or enter a ticker into column C or enter an ISIN number into column D.
- 2. If the CSRHub name you want does not appear in column H, use the CSRHub name lookup tool (top menu, CSRHub...Company) to paste the right text company name into column H.
- 3. The data in columns I to N should help you double check that you have the right name match. The name in column H is the one used automatically on other sheets.
- 4. If you need to lookup more than 30 companies, you can copy the formulas in row 44 down.
- 5. Use column A to store your internal identifier or version of the company name for later reference.
- 6. Choose Formulas from the top menu, then Calculate Sheet. This will allow our database to update the sheet.
- 7. Enter in your CSRHub site credentials (username/password) when directed.

Top Line Summary			8 Companies				More instructions and examples are available online.		Way	s to Do	uble Check	the Name	
Internal ID (Optional)	Enter Name	Enter Ticker (No exchange code)	Enter ISIN	Simple Lookup (NA or blank = didn't work)	Ticker Lookup (NA or blank = didn't work)	ISIN Lookup (NA or blank = didn't work)	Best Guess (replace using name lookup tool when NA)	Country	City	Ticker	# of Sources	ISIN	Industry
	Deutsche Post AG			Deutsche Post AG	NA	NA	Deutsche Post AG	Germany	Bonn	DPSTF	116	DE0005552004	Air Freight, Couriers & Moving Companies
			DE0006048408	NA	NA	HENKEL KGAA	HENKEL KGAA	Germany		HELKF	105	DE0006048408	Household Products
		AAPL		NA	Apple Inc.	NA	Apple Inc.	USA		AAPL	195	US0378331005	Computers & Peripherals
	BT Group PLC			BT Group PLC	NA	NA	BT Group PLC	United Kingdom	London	BTGOF	111	GB0030913577	Telecommunications
	Amazon.com, Inc.			Amazon.com, Inc.	NA	NA	Amazon.com, Inc.	USA	Seattle	AMZN	118	US0231351067	Electronic Shopping and Mail-Order Houses
	Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.			Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	NA	NA	Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	USA	Bentonville	WMT	201	US9311421039	Retail
	wai-ivial t Stores, IIIc.			NA	NA	NA	NA				NA		NA
				NA	NA	NA	NA				NA NA		NA
				NA	NA	NA	NA				NA		NA
				NA	NA	NA	NA				NA		NA
				NA	NA	NA	NA				NA		NA
				NA	NA	NA	NA				NA		NA
				NA	NA	NA	NA				NA		NA
				NA	NA	NA	NA				NA		NA
				NA	NA	NA	NA				NA		NA
				NA	NA	NA	NA				NA		NA
				NA	NA	NA	NA				NA		NA
				NA	NA	NA	NA				NA		NA
				NA	NA	NA	NA				NA NA		NA
				NA	NA	NA	NA				NA NA		NA
				NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA				NA NA		NA NA
				NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA				NA NA		NA NA
				NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA				NA NA		NA
				NA	NA	NA	NA				NA NA		NA
				NA	NA	NA	NA				NA NA		NA
				NA	NA	NA	NA				NA NA		NA
				NA	NA	NA	NA				NA		NA
				NA	NA	NA	NA				NA		NA
				NA	NA	NA	NA				NA		NA
											-		
											-		

# **Quick Diagnostic**

Average

(Summary of Carbon-Related Data for this set of companies)



NA = Rating or information not available. We also do not provide an overall score for partially rated companies.

Yes	7	0	8	8
No	1	8	0	0
% Yes	88%	0%	100%	100%

	0070	0470	0770	43	10.023	7.023	25.025	30.673				
	Energy &	Climate	Change							M	odel Est. Avail	?
Company	Versus All Companies	Versus Same Industry	Versus Same Country	# of Sources	# of Reporting Systems	# of Orgs and Awards	# of Policy Indicators	# of Reported Facts	CDP? (2014, 2015, or 2016)	ET Carbon Rankings		Trucost
Deutsche Post AG	82%	76%	70%	36	22	10	41	43	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
HENKEL KGAA	93%	83%	89%	32	20	11	40	39	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Apple Inc.	96%	97%	98%	58	24	13	44	47	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
BT Group PLC	92%	89%	85%	34	20	12	60	49	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Amazon.com, Inc.	62%	59%	72%	41	19	5	6	21	No	No	Yes	Yes
Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	86%	85%	92%	57	28	10	46	48	Yes	No	Yes	Yes




# Carbon-Related Reporting Systems

(Companies typically must submit data or respond to a questionaire for each of these sources.)

Yes	6	0	5	5	4	6	4	5	5	5	0	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0
No	0	6	1	1	2	0	2	1	1	1	6	0	0	6	6	6	6	6	6	4	4	6
% Yes	100%	0%	83%	83%	67%	100%	67%	83%	83%	83%	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33%	33%	0%

#### **42** Different Reporting Systems



0	0	0	0	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	3	3	3	3	3	3	6	6
6	6	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	0	0
0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	100%	100%

No No No No Ves Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Y																				
					/ \\ \ <u>\</u>		A Lines	A Line	Set / Su	None of Alexander	A Line		A P			N. S.	The state of			
	No	No	No	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No No	No No						Yes	
No		-			Yes	NO	NO	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes							
No No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No No	No No	No No	Yes Yes	Yes	
No No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No No	No No	No No	Yes Yes	Yes	
No No No	No No	No No	No No	Yes Yes	Yes Yes Yes	No No Yes	No No Yes	No No Yes	No No Yes	No No Yes	No No Yes	Yes Yes Yes	Yes Yes Yes							



## Organizations and Awards

(Companies must pay to join most organizations and to participate in some awards programs.) (Three most recent years, only.)

Yes	2	0	1	5	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
No	4	6	5	1	6	6	6	5	5	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	5	5	6
% Yes	33%	0%	17%	83%	0%	0%	0%	17%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	17%	17%	0%

### **64** Different Organizations and Awards



0	1	2	1	1	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	3	3	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
6	5	4	5	5	5	6	4	5	6	6	6	6	3	3	5	4	5	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	0
0%	17%	33%	17%	17%	17%	0%	33%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	17%	33%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%

	Sol Sol		Soll Control of Soll o		AND THE SUSTINE	Chrof Chro	Solds Single	of Solds of	Chical Chical	Sold Street	This different is the same of	Cities Ci	THE STATE ST		Sold Sold Sold Sold Sold Sold Sold Sold	Juda Ja	The second of th		N. S.	on State of	Gold II		Sal culting the sale of the sa	or significant of the second o		Strain St	STAND
No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes

0	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	3	0	4	1	5	5
6	3	6	6	6	6	6	4	3	3	6	2	5	1	1
0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33%	50%	50%	0%	67%	17%	83%	83%

		dio die	ori de la constante de la cons	at and a	Merito de la constante de la c			THORSE THORSE		Start	Glada Cidada Cid		Solinois Sol	Sold String Stri	istressing and control of the contro
		Sign	sus			1100/ 1100/	or or	TROPE						will do to	ne <sup>5</sup> ndi <sup>th</sup>
No	No	No	Sus	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	ne <sup>5</sup> noti
															gre <sup>5</sup> wath
No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	gre <sup>s</sup> udi <sup>u</sup>
No No	No Yes	No No	No No	No No	No No	No No	No Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	No No	Yes No	No No	Yes Yes	Yes	ne <sup>5</sup> noti
No No No	No Yes No	No No No	No No No	No No No	No No No	No No No	No Yes No	Yes Yes No	Yes Yes No	No No No	Yes No Yes	No No No	Yes Yes Yes	Yes Yes No	nes natu



(Reported information on how these companies manage their carbon-related programs.)

	Available NA	1															
	% Avail	20%	60%	60%			20%								40%	40%	
	103	Harzardous emitter?			13.1. Do you participate in any emissions trading schemes?	13.2. Has your company originated any project-based carbon credits or purchased any within the reporting period?	2013 April	14.2a. Please indicate the proportion of your Scope 3 emissions that are verified/assure d	14.3. Are you able to compare your Scope 3 emissions for the reporting year with those for any sources?	14.4. Do you engage with any of the elements of your value chain on GHG emissions and climate change strategies? (Tick all that apply)	2.2. Is dimate change integrated into your business strategy?	2.3b. Are you on the Board of any trade associations or provide funding beyond membership?	2.3e. Do you fund any research organization	published information about your company's response to climate change and GHG emissions	5.1. Have you identified any climate change risks (current or future) that have potential to	6.1a Identified climate change opportunities that are driven by changes in regulation?	7.2. Please give the name of the standard, protocol or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions
Company	Simple Count																
Deutsche Post AG	41	NA	Individual/Su b-set of the Board or other committee appointed by the Board	Yes	Yes	Yes	Third party verification or assurance complete	More than 60% but less than or equal to 80%	Yes	Yes, our suppliers; Yes, our customers; Yes, other partners in the value chain	Yes	No	Yes	2	NA	NA	ISO 14064-1/The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)
HENKEL KGAA	40	NA	Individual/Su b-set of the Board or other committee appointed by the Board	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Yes	Yes	NA	2	Risks driven by changes in regulation; Risks driven by changes in physical climate parameters; Risks driven by changes in other climate-related developments	Yes	NA

Apple Inc.	44	NA.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
BT Group PLC	60	No	Individual/Su b-set of the Board or other committee appointed by the Board	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Yes	Yes	NA	2	Risks driven by changes in regulation; Risks driven by changes in physical climate parameters; Risks driven by changes in other climate-related developments	Yes	NA
Amazon.com, Inc.	6	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	46	NA	Individual/Su b-set of the Board or other committee appointed by the Board	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Yes	Yes	NA	4	Risks driven by changes in regulation; Risks driven by changes in physical climate parameters; Risks driven by changes in other climate-related developments	Yes	NA

1 4 20%	4	1	1	4	4	4	4	4	1	1		. 2	4	1	1	2	2	2
Please indicate the	B.7a. Please indicate the proportion of your Scope 2 emissions that are verified/as sured	the highest level of direct responsibility	1.2. Do you provide incentives for the management of climate change issues, including the attainment of targets?	13.1. Do you participat e in any emissions trading schemes?	13.2. Has your company originated any project-based carbon credits or purchased any within the reporting period?	14.2. Please indicate the verification/a ssurance status that applies to your Scope 3 emissions	Apth Project Port Indiana Place of the Proportion of your Scope 3 emissions that are verified/assured	14.4. Do you engage with any of the elements of your value chain on GHG emissions and climate change strategies? (Tick all that apply)	climate change integrated into your business	2.3. Do you engage in activities that could either directly or indirectly influence policy on climate through any of the following? (tick all that apply)	you on the Board of any trade associatio ns or provide funding beyond	2.3d. Do	Right of the state	use of your goods and/or services	4.1. Have you published information about your company's response to climate change and GHG emissions performance for this reporting year in other places than in your CDP response?	identified any climate change risks (current or future) that have potential to generate a substantive change in your business	Cathon	charted and control of the control o
More than 90% but less than or equal to 100%	More than 90% but less than or equal to 100%	Individual/Su b-set of the Board or other committee appointed by the Board	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Yes	Yes	No	No	NA	No	2	NA	NA	NA
NA	NA	Individual/Su b-set of the Board or other committee appointed by the Board	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	NA	Yes	3	Risks driven by changes in regulation; Risks driven by changes in physical climate parameters; Risks driven by changes in other climate- related developments	Opportunities driven by changes in regulation; Opportunities driven by changes in physical climate parameters; Opportunities driven by changes in other climate-related developments	Yes

NA	NA	Senior Manager/Offi cer	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	NA	Yes	40	Risks driven by changes in regulation; Risks driven by changes in physical climate parameters; Risks driven by changes in other climate- related developments	Opportunities driven by changes in regulation; Opportunities driven by changes in physical climate parameters; Opportunities driven by changes in other climaterelated developments	Yes
NA	NA	Individual/Su b-set of the Board or other committee appointed by the Board	Yes	Yes	No	Third party verification or assurance complete	High assurance/https://ww w.cdp.net/sites/2014/ 77/2377/Investor CDP 2014/Shared Documents/Attachme nts/CC14.2a/LRQA - BT assurance statement 2013-14.PDF/page 1-2	Yes, our suppliers; Yes, our customers; Yes, other partners in the value chain	Yes	Yes	No Response	No	Yes	Yes	In mainstream financial reports (complete)/a7/htt ps://www.cdp.net/sites/2014/77/237 7/Investor CDD 2014/Shared Documents/Attach ments/CC4.1/2014 _BT_Annual_Report.pdf	Risks driven by changes in regulation; Risks driven by changes in physical climate parameters; Risks driven by changes in other climate- related developments	Opportunities driven by changes in regulation; Opportunities driven by changes in physical climate parameters; Opportunities driven by changes in other climate-related developments	Explanation
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
NA	NA	Individual/Su b-set of the Board or other committee appointed by the Board	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	4	Risks driven by changes in regulation; Risks driven by changes in physical climate parameters; Risks driven by changes in other climate- related developments	Opportunities driven by changes in regulation; Opportunities driven by changes in physical climate parameters; Opportunities driven by changes in other climate-related developments	Yes

1				_	-	1	4		-	_			_		4	,	_		
20%																			
name of the standard, protocol or methodology you have used to collect activity data and		Discourage of the state of the	College of the Colleg	2014 Property Propert	Neg 201 N	d de production de la contraction de la contract	and the highest level of direct responsibility for climate change within			Ser John Ser		The state of the s	E 14.4. Do you	Carth 2.2. Is climate change integrated into your business	oget 2015 oget 2016 oget 2016	the control of the control of the control of any trade associations or provide funding beyond	oject 2016 Data Data Dische Lie Casto		
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Board or individual/sub set of the Board or other committee appointed by the Board	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Yes	Yes	NA	No	NA	No
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Yes	NA	Board or individual/sub set of the Board or other committee appointed by the Board	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	NA	Yes

NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Yes	123	Senior Manager/Offi cer	Yes	No, and we do not currently anticipat e doing so in the next 2 years	No	Third party verification or assurance complete	NA	Yes, our suppliers; Yes, our customers; Yes, other partners in the value chain	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	NA	Yes
The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)//	Third party verification or assurance complete	1 Apr 2013/31 Mar 2014/High assurance	Third party verification or assurance complete	See Table Sheet	No	NA	Board or individual/sub- set of the Board or other committee appointed by the Board	Yes	Yes	No	Third party verification or assurance complete	NA	Yes, our suppliers; Yes, our customers; Yes, other partners in the value chain	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Yes	123	Board or individual/sub- set of the Board or other committee appointed by the Board	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes

1				2			-							5	2		_
80%														0%			
Antib Rode of the Control of the Con		6.1. Have you identified any climate change opportunities (current or future) that have the potential to generate a substantive change in your business operations, revenue or expenditure? Tick all that apply		7.2. Please give the name of the standard, protocol or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate Scope 2 emissions	8.6. Please indicate the verification/ass urance status that applies to your reported Scope 1 emissions	indicate the	8.7. Please indicate the verification/ass urance status that applies to your reported Scope 2 emissions	8.7a. Please	8.9. Are carbon dioxide emissions from biologically sequestered carbon relevant to your organization?	the highest	1.2. Do you provide incentives for the management of climate change issues, including the attainment of targets?	dictor of the last	and the state of t	2.3. Do you engage with policy makers	Age of the state o	3.1. Did you have an emissions reduction target that was active (ongoing or reached completion) in the reporting year?	4.1. Have you published information admits and GHG emissions performan ce for this reporting year in other places than in
2	NA	NA	NA	NA	100	NA	NA	NA	Yes	Board or individual/sub set of the Board or other committee appointed by the Board	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	No	Intensity target	NA
2	Risks driven by changes in regulation; Risks driven by changes in physical climate parameters; Risks driven by changes in other climate- related developments	Opportunities driven by changes in regulation; Opportunities driven by changes in physical climate parameters; Opportunities driven by changes in other climate-related developments	Yes	NA	27	NA	NA	NA	Yes	Board or individual/sub set of the Board or other committee appointed by the Board	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	NA	Absolute target; Intensity target	In voluntary communi cations

14	Risks driven by changes in regulation; Risks driven by changes in physical climate parameters; Risks driven by changes in other climate- related developments	Opportunities driven by changes in regulation; Opportunities driven by changes in physical climate parameters; Opportunities driven by changes in other climaterelated developments	Yes	No Response	100	NA	NA	NA	No	Senior Manager/Offi cer	Yes	No, and we do not currently anticipat e doing so in the next 2 years	Yes	NA	NA	Absolute target; Renewable energy consumptio n and/or production target	NA
4	Risks driven by changes in regulation; Risks driven by changes in physical climate parameters; Risks driven by changes in other climate- related developments	Opportunities driven by changes in regulation; Opportunities driven by changes in physical climate parameters; Opportunities driven by changes in other climate-related developments	Yes	No Response	NA	NA	NA	NA	No	Board or individual/sub- set of the Board or other committee appointed by the Board	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Absolute target; Intensity target; Renewable energy consumptio n and/or production target	In voluntary communi cations
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
3	Risks driven by changes in regulation; Risks driven by changes in physical climate parameters; Risks driven by changes in other climate- related developments	Opportunities driven by changes in regulation; Opportunities driven by changes in physical climate parameters; Opportunities driven by changes in other climate-related developments	Yes	NA	100	NA	NA	NA	Yes	Board or individual/sub set of the Board or other committee appointed by the Board	Yes	No, and we do not currently anticipat e doing so in the next 2 years	Yes	NA	No	Absolute target; Intensity target; Renewable energy consumptio n and/or production target	In voluntary communi cations

5	1	2	5	5	3	4	5	5	5	5	5 5	5	5	5	5		5 5	5 5	5
0%	80%	60%	0%	0%	40%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6 0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Tifectos (Jilly)  5.1. Have you	6.1. Have you identified any climate change opportunit ies (current or future) that have the potential to generate a substantive change in your business operations, revenue or expenditure? - Transpare ncy	indicate the verificatio n/assuran ce status that applies to	List of companies who purchase carbon offsets	as dentified to the state of th	/	CHAPT CHAPT	<b>/</b>	Is Some CO2 captured on- site and therefore not emitted?	Is some CO2 reported as	Does the facility employ continuous emissions monitoring?	Is Some CO2	Is some CO2 reported as emissions from the affected manufacturing process unit(s) under Subpart AA, G or P collected and transferred off-site or injected (as reported under Subpart PP)?	Does the facility employ continuous emissions monitoring?	Is Some CO2		Does the facility employ continuous emissions monitoring?	Is Some CO2	It is some CO2 -reported as emissions from the affected manufacturing process unit(s) under Subpart AA, G or P collected and transferred off-site or injected (as reported under Subpart PP)?	Is Some CO2 captured on- site and therefore not emitted?
NA	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
NA	Yes	100	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

0 0

0

0 0

0

0 0

0

0 0

0 4 3 0 0 2 1

NA	Yes, several opportun ities	Third party verificati on or assuranc e process in place	NA	NA	Omitted	Yes	NA												
NA	Yes, several opportun ities	Third party verificati on or assuranc e process in place	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Voted, Withdra wn; Company will address	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
NA	Yes	100	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

5	5	3				5				0		
0%	0%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%					
Is some CO2 reported as	Does the facility employ continuous emissions monitoring?	Renewables Advocacy	/	/	/			and 2011 Unterfact by the Artificial Sustainability Themed Committee	Sustainability Themed Committee	Newsweek Green Score	Logoffen keen Score	See
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.05	NA	55.9	NA	
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.05	NA	82.6	NA	

5

2

2 0 0 0 0 0

NA	NA	D	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0	0	71.5	71.5
NA	0.05	NA	82.5	NA							
NA	NA	F	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0	0	0	0
NA	0.05	0.05	40.2	40.2							



Reported Carbon Information (Data collected by these sources on these aspects of carbon reporting.)

	Yes NA	5	5	5	5	5	6	6		5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5			-	-	6		6	6
		83%		83%	83%		-		_	83%	83%	83%		83%	83%	83%	83%	_		_	-	100%	_	100%	
_	177	83% Differe	nt Re	port	ed Fa	cts																			
				AME				Liter State of the	A STANTON OF THE PROPERTY OF T	Seld Seld	Charles And				THE SHAPE SHAPE	LE CONTROL CON	THE PARTY OF THE P	CONTROL CONTRO	Klade Polici Con	all Captor Die Captor Die C	Server district of the server	Catego	2013 Fill	A STORY OF THE STO	THE STATE OF THE S
Company	Simple Count	Emissions per assets	Emissions per employee	Emissions per sale	emissions_total_tco2e	Energy Use Pts	% Renewables excluding offsets	2011 Electricity Usage //MWh	2011 Renewables Usage ///Win	Biomass/Biofuel (%)	Blend (%)	Geothermal (%)	Hydro (%)	Solar (%)	Unknown (%)	Waste to energy (%)		12.1. How do your absolute emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to the previous year?	12.2. Please describe your gross combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tonnes CO2e per revenue: Intensity figure/Metric numerator/% change from previous year/Direction of change from previous year/Reason for change	12.3. Please describe your gross combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tonnes CO2e per FTE: Intensity figure/Metric numerator/% change from previous year/Direction of change from previous year/Reason for change	12.4. Please provide an additional intensity (normalized) metric that is appropriate to your business operations: Intensity figure/Metric numerator/% charge from previous year/Direction of charge from previous year/Reason for charge	2013 Performance Band	2013 Score	8.2. Please provide your gross global Scope 1 emissions figure in metric tonnes CO2e for 2010 - 01 Jul 2010 - 30 Jun 2011 reporting period	8.2. Please provide your gross global Scope 1 emissions figure in metric tonnes CO2e for 2011 - 01 Jul 2011 - 30 Jun 2012 reporting period

Deutsche Post AG	43	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	39	183700 0	719000	NA	Increased	9.7E-05/metric tonnes CO2e/3/Decreas e/As requested, we provide the intensity figure as "Metric tonnes CO2 / EUR revenue": 2011: 5,300,000 t CO2 / 52,829 mn EUR = 0.000100 2012: 5,370,000 t CO2 / 55,512 mn EUR = 0.000097 Delta: -3% Compared to 2011, ou	12.54/metric tonnes CO2e/0.2/Increa se/As requested, we provide the intensity figure as "Metric tonnes CO2 / FTE employee": 2011: 5,300,000 t CO2 / 423,502 FTE = 12.51 2012: 5,370,000 t CO2 / 428,129 FTE = 12.54 Delta: +0.	Efficiency Index 2007: 100 2008: 98 2009: 93 2010: 88 2011: 86 Explanation: As we have set	В	98	0	0							
HENKEL KGAA	39	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Decreased	NA	NA	NA	В	85	0	0
Apple Inc.	47	NA			NA	NA	9	580921	54000	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA								
		NA	NA	092 e-	3940 00	NA	42	221000	928200	NA	Decreased	NA	NA	NA	Α	93	0	0							
BT Group PLC Amazon.com, Inc.	49	NA		005 NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	48	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Increased	NA	NA	NA	A-	94	0	0

6	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	5	5	5 5	6	5	5 5	6	5	5	6	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	5
C	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1 1	. 0	1	1 1	0	1	1		0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
100%	100%	100%	100%	83%	83%	83%	83%	83%	83%	6 83%	100%	83%	6 83%	100%	83%	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%	83%	83%	83%	83%
	Service Servic	Ser John St.	ojedo de	STORY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P	Part of the Part o	2014 rui 2014 rui 2014 rojet 2015	Statem Catter	est 20 la full sta la	ole of the later o	Rull Stocker	LIII / Still	Land State of the	AN SELECTION OF THE PROPERTY O	Kaji Kaje kaje kaje kaje kaje kaje kaje kaje k	Ji de	III / OBE OF THE	KIII SAGA	Solger Solger	O'SU	Signic !	LIII LO	JII John Control of Co	M Lill	Selection of the last	Chipter of the state of the sta
8.2. Please provide your gross global Scope 1 emissions figure in metric tonnes CO2e for 2012 - 01 Jul 2012 - 30 Jun 2013 reporting period	8.3. Please provide your gross global Scope 2 emissions figure in metric tonnes CO2e for 2010 - 01 Jul 2010 - 30 Jun 2011 reporting period	8.3. Please provide your gross global Scope 2 emissions figure in metric tonnes CO2e for 2011 - 01 Jul 2011 - 30 Jun 2012 reporting period	8.3. Please provide your gross global Scope 2 emissions figure in metric tonnes CO2e for 2012 - 01 Jul 2012 - 30 Jun 2013 reporting period	12.2. Please describe your gross combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tonnes CO2e per unit currency total revenue	12.3. Please describe your gross combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tonnes CO2e per full time equivalent (FTE) employee	14.1. Organization's Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explain	2014 score	2014 Performance Band	8.2. Please provide your gross global Scope 1 emissions figure in metric tonnes CO2e for 2011 - 01 Jul 2011 - 30 Jun 2012 reporting period	8.2. Please provide your gross global Scope 1 emissions figure in metric tonnes CO2e for 2012 - 01 Jul 2012 - 30 Jun 2013 reporting period	8.2. Please provide your gross global Scope 1 emissions figure in metric tonnes CO2e for 2013 - 01 Jul 2013 - 30 Jun 2014 reporting period	B.3. Please provide your gross global Scope 2 emissions figure in metric tonnes CO2e for 2011 - 01 Jul 2011 - 30 Jun 2012 reporting period	8.3. Please provide your gross global Scope 2 emissions figure in metric tonnes CO2e for 2012 - 01 Jul 2012 - 30 Jun 2013 reporting period	Please provide your gross global Scope 2 emissions figure in metric nnes CO2e for 2013 - 01 Jul 2013 - 30 Jun 2014 reporting period	Biogenically generated tonnes CO2e for 2011 - 01 Jul 2011 - 30 Jun 2012 reporting period	8.9. Biogenically generated tonnes CO2e for 2012 - 01 Jul 2012 - 30 Jun 2013 reporting period	8.9. Biogenically generated tonnes CO2e for 2013 - 01 Jul 2013 - 30 Jun 2014 reporting period	ically generated metric tonnes CO2e for :	Jobal Scope 1 emissions figure in metric tonnes CO2e مرتاضع مرتاضع التعلق	Reported gross global Scope 2 emissions figure in metric tonnes CO2e for 2013 reporting period?	Scope 1 emissions	Scope 2 emissions	12.2. % change from previous year	12.3. Please describe your gross combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tonnes COZe per full time equivalent (FTE) employee: Intensity figure/Metric numerator/% change from previous year/Direction of change from previous year/Re	±

4800000	0	0	4800000	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	512000 0	NA	NA	490000	NA	NA	44900	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
317400	0	0	317400	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	307500	NA	NA	325700	NA	NA	12500	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	99	Α	NA	NA	30393	NA	NA	91505	NA	NA	17236	Yes	Yes	Yes	30393	91,505 abs	NA	NA	NA
200876	0	0	200876	13.79/met ric tonnes CO2e/unit total revenue	tonnes CO2e/FTE	Purchased goods and services/Rel evant, calculated/1 883463	NA	NA	NA	NA	182000	NA	NA	70243	NA	NA	No Respons e	No	Yes	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
5605099	0	0	5605099	NA	NA	NA	98	Α	NA	NA	650171 5	NA	NA	149334 22	NA	NA	619	Yes	Yes	Yes	6501715	1493342 2	NA	NA	NA

-	5 5	5 5		5 5	5	6	5	5	5	5	6	6	5 6	5	5	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	1 5	5	5	5	6
(	) 1	. 1	. 1	1 1	. 1	0	1	1	1	1	0	C	) (	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	C	) 1	1	1	1	0
1009	83%	83%	83%	83%	83%	100%	83%	83%	83%	83%	100%	100%	100%	83%	83%	###	83%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	83%	83%	83%	83%	100%
Story Story	Ser	in the state of th	in State of the St	LIII LOS CONTROL CONTR	LIII SECOLORIS S	Cattle Control	Lill Sold Sold Sold Sold Sold Sold Sold So	Lin Lin	Signature State of the State of	Part of the state	Olo Callo	go Dischedie G	The State of the S	THE STATE OF THE S	Ji Joseph Control of the Control of					THE STATE OF THE S	Refer July Control of the Control of	REAL STATES		Sold State	S. J.	Line in the state of the state	Lies Herit	Little Control of Cont
2015 Disclosure score	2014 Performance band	8.2. Please provide your gross global Scope 1 emissions figures in metric tonnes CO2e	8.3.a. Please provide your gross global Scope 2 emissions figures in metric tonnes CO2e	8.8. Are carbon dioxide emissions from biologically sequestered carbon relevant to your organization?	8 8a. Please provide the emissions in metric tonnes CO2	14.1. Do you participate in any emission trading schemes?	4.2a. Please indicate the proportion of your Scope 3 emissions that are verified/assured	12.2. % change from previous year	2016 Disclosure score	2016 Performance band	8.1. Please select the boundary you are using for your Scope 1 and 2 greenhouse gas inventory	8.2. Please provide your gross global Scope 1 emissions figure in metric tonnes CO2e	8.3.a. Please provide your gross global Scope 2 emissions figures in metric tonnes CO2e	8.8. Are carbon dioxide emissions from biologically sequestered carbon relevant to your organization?	8.8a. Please provide the emissions in metric tonnes CO2	Carbon Intensity (tCO2/\$m revenue) ratio	Original Value (EU ETS verified emissions 2012 (tCO2))	Climate Change Rank	Climate Change Rank	Climate Change Rank	Climate Change Rank	Climate Change Rank	Accepted or Inferred Scope 3 Intensity	Asia-Pacífic 300 Carbon Rank	Asia-Pacific 300 Carbon Ranking	BRICS 300 Carbon Rank	BRICS 300 Carbon Ranking	Combined Scope 1+2+3 Intensity

100	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	Financia I control	5600000	900000	NA	NA	NA N	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	2130.92	NA	NA	NA	NA	1141.56
89	NA	NA	125398	NA	NA	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	Financia I control	349000	318000	No	NA	NA N	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	856.03	NA	NA	NA	NA	459.71
100	NA	28500	NA	No	NA	No, and we do not currentl y anticipa te doing so in the next 2 years	NA	NA	NA	NA	Operati onal control	28100	378790	No	NA	NA M	NA	NA	NA	NA	144	NA	314.82	NA	NA	NA	NA	570.8
98	NA	178422	23	No	NA	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	Financia I control	172385	102761 9	No	NA	NA N	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	71.44	NA	NA	NA	NA	57.48
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA N	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	2475.03	NA	NA	NA	NA	1380.21
96	NA	NA	29428.5 5	NA	NA	No, and we do not currentl y anticipa te doing so in the next 2 years	NA	NA	NA	NA	Operati onal control	6107244	149280 54	NA	NA	NA N	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	2475.03	NA	NA	NA	NA	1380.21


100	0	5 6 1 0 % 100%	1	. 0	1	1	6 0 100%	C	0	6 0 100%	6 0 100%	5 1 83%	1	5 5	5 5 L 1	5 1 ### #	5 5 1 1		5 1 83%	5 5 1 1	5 5 L 1 # ###	5 1 ### ##	5 5 1 1	5 1 ### #	5 5 1 1	83%	1	5 1 ### ##	5 5 1 1 # ###	5 1 ###	5 1 ### ##	5 5 1 1 # ###	5 1 ###	5 1 83%	5 1 ### ##	5 5 1 1 ## ### (
asistal asistal asistal acceptant	Legister Control	Let Me Co	Ling Street of the Color of the	10.	M. 200.		Little Company of the	List State of State o	Ling the Ling of the Line of t	The street of th	Little Control	The street of th																								
Europe 300 Carbon Rank	Europe 300 Carbon Ranking	Global 800 Carbon Rank	Global 800 Carbon Ranking	No. of Scope 3 Categories Reported	North America 300 Carbon Rank	North America 300 Carbon Ranking	Reported Scope 1+2 Total ((CO2e)	Reported Scope 3 Intensity	Reported Scope 3 Total (tCO2e)	Scope 1+2 Intensity	Scope 3 Disclosure Leader Award	Percentage of non-biogenic CO2 (out of total direct emissions)	Stationary Combustion	Sum of Biogenic COZ emissions (metric tons) Sum of CO2 emissions (non-biogenic)	Sum of HFC er	Sum of HFE emissions	Sum of Methane (CH4) emissions Sum of PFC emissions	Total reported direct emissions	Percentage of non-biogenic CO2 (out of total direct emissions)	Stationary Combustion Sum of Biogenic CO2 emissions (metric tons)	Sum of CO2 emissions (non-biogenic)	Sum of HFC emissions	Sum of Methane (CH4) emissions	Sum of Nitrous Oxide (N2O) emissions	Sun of Pro emissions  Total reported direct emissions	Percentage of non-biogenic CO2 (out of total direct emissions)	Stationary Combustion	Sum of Biogenic CO2 emissions (metric tons) Sum of CO2 emissions (non-biogenic)	Sum of HFC emissions	Sum of HFE emissions	Sum of Methane (CH4) emissions Sum of Nitrous Oxide (N2O) emissions	Sum of	Total reported direct emissions	Percentage of non-biogenic CO2 (out of total direct emissions)	Stationary Combustion Sum of Biogenic CO2 emissions (metric foos)	um of CO2 emissions (non-biogenic)

38	NA	85	NA	1	NA	NA	5300000	328.79	2290000	76.1	No	NA	NA I	NA N	IA N	NA NA	\ NA	NA NA	A NA	NA I	NA N	NA NA NA	NA	NA NA	A NA	NA N	N ALL	A NA	A NA	NA	NA N	A NA	. NA	NA	NA	NA NA	A NA NA
177	NA	208	NA	-	NA	NA	652000	-	-	31.69	No	NA	NA	NA N	IA N	IA NA	NA.	NA NA	NA	NA	NA N	NA NA	NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA N	IA N	A NA	A NA	NA	NA N	A NA	. NA	NA	NA	NA NA	NA NA
NA	NA	428	NA	4	32	NA	Unclear	-	-	413.39	No	NA	NA I	NA N	IA N	IA NA	NA NA	NA NA	A NA	NA	NA N	NA NA	NA	NA NA	A NA	NA N	IA N	A NA	A NA	NA	NA N	A NA	. NA	NA	NA	NA NA	A NA NA
24	NA	6	NA	3	NA	NA	710000	1.41	46000	21.76	No	NA	NA I	NA N	IA N	IA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA	NA	NA N	NA NA	NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA N	IA N	A NA	A NA	NA	NA N	A NA	. NA	NA	NA	NA NA	A NA NA
NA	NA	721	NA	_	255	NA		_	-	142.7	No	NA	NA I	NA N	IA N	IA NA	NA.	NA NA	NA NA	NA	NA N	NA NA	NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA N	IA N	A NA	A NA	NA	NA N	A NA	NA	NA	NA	NA NA	A NA NA
NA	NA	722	NA	-	148	NA	-	-	-	142.7	No	NA	NA I	NA N	IA N	IA NA	NA NA	NA NA	A NA	NA	NA N	NA NA	NA	NA NA	A NA	NA N	IA N	A NA	A NA	NA	NA N	A NA	. NA	NA	NA	NA NA	A NA NA

5 5	5		5		5 !	5 !	5 5	5 5	5 5		5 5	5 5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5 !	5 5	5 5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	6		6	6	6		-	6	5	5	5
1 1	1	1			1 :	1 :	1 :	1 1	1 :	1 1	1 1	_	_			. 1		-	-	1	1	1	1 :	1 1	1 1	. 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	_	0		0	0	0	_	)	0	1	1	1
### ###	###	###	###	839	%   ##	#   ###	#   ###	#   ###	# ###	#   ###	# ###	#   ###	###	###	83%	83%	839	6 83	% ##	## ##	#   ##	# ##	#   ##	#   ###	#   ###	###	###	###	###	###	###   #	###	###	###   #	### #	## #	## ##	##	100%	100	% 1	00%	100%	100%	6 1	100%	83%	83%	83%
																																										Second Second		20 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Society S		\$\$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	Sept Sept Sept Sept Sept Sept Sept Sept	\$ 5 5 7 7 8 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1
Sum of HFE emissions Sum of Methane (CH4) emissions	Sum of Nitrous Oxide (N2O) emissions	Sum of PFC emissions	Total reported direct emissions	Percentage of non-biogenic CO2 (out of total direct emissions)		Percentage of non-biogenic CO2 (out of total direct emissions)	Sum of Oxide (N2O)	Sum of Biogenic CO2 emissions (metric tons)	Sum of CO2 emissions (non-biogenic)	Sum of HFC emissions	Sum of HFE emissions	Sum of Methane (CH4) emissions	Sum of PFC emissions	Total reported direct emissions	Relative Scope 1+2 Intensity	Relative Scope 3 Intensity	Clean Energy Index	Fnerray Efficiency & GHG Mithantion	Dynamics of officiancy	ج   ہ	Efficiency: Technology	Dynamics of efficiency	Efficiency: Ecoenergy	Efficiency: Technology		Efficiency: Ecoenergy	Efficiency: Technology	Dynamics of efficiency	Efficiency: Ecoenergy	Efficiency: Technology	Dynamics of efficiency	Efficiency: Ecoenergy	ūΙ	Efficiency: Technology	Dynamics of efficiency	Efficiency: Ecoenergy	Efficiency: Environmental	Efficiency: Technology	Carbon Productivity	Energy Productivity	Carbon Productivity		Energy Productivity	Carbon Productivity	1971	Energy Productivity	Carbon Productivity	Energy Productivity	Carbon Productivity

NA 1	NA I	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA I	NA I	NA M	NA AN	IA N	A NA	A NA	. NA	\ NA	NA	NA	NA I	NA I	1 AV	NA NN	IA N	Α	0.612	0.399	0.063	0.06	0.061		0.084	NA	NA	NA														
NA I	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA I	NA I	NA N	NA N	IA N	A N	A NA	. NA	NA.	NA	NA	NA I	NA I	NA I	NA N	IA N	Α	0.472	0.387	0.091	0.084	0.101		0.119	NA	NA	NA														
NA	1 AN	NA I	NA	NA	NA	0.1 53	D	NA	NA	NA I	NA I	NA N	NA N	IA N	A N	A NA	. NA	NA	NA	NA	NA I	NA I	NA 1	NA N	IA N	Α	0.963	0.918	0.127	0.107	0.15	C	0.099	0.963	0.918	0.115												
NA	1 AN	NA I	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA I	NA I	NA N	NA N	IA N	A N	A NA	. NA	NA	NA	NA	NA I	NA I	NA N	NA N	IA N	Α	0.384	0.53	0.134	0.099	0.133	C	0.118	NA	NA	NA												
NA	1 AN	NA I	NA	NA	NA	0.1	D	NA	NA	NA I	NA I	NA N	NA N	IA N	A N	A NA	. NA	NA NA	NA	NA	NA I	NA I	NA N	NA N	IA N	Α	NA	NA	0	0	0.063		0	NA	NA	0												
																																							0.459	0.312	0.068	0	0.064		0	0.459	0.312	0.04

5	5	5
1	1	1
83%	83%	83%



NA	NA	NA
NA	NA	NA
0.09	0.15	0.099
NA	NA	NA
0	0.063	0
0.024	0.064	0